UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/796,913	03/08/2004	James M. Brugger	T4342-14198US18	1674
MILES & STOCKBRIDGE PC 1751 PINNACLE DRIVE SUITE 500 MCLEAN, VA 22102-3833			EXAMINER	
			HAND, MELANIE JO	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3761	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/25/2009	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

ipdocketing@milesstockbridge.com sstiles@milesstockbridge.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/796,913	BRUGGER ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	MELANIE J. HAND	3761				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>17 M</u>	larch 2009					
	action is non-final.					
<i>i</i>	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-6.8-12.16-20 and 22-24 is/are pend	I)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6,8-12,16-20 and 22-24</u> is/are pending in the application.					
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>8,11,12,23 and 24</u> is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6,9,10,16-20,22</u> is/are rejected.	·					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
•	Claim(s) is/are objected to: Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some coll None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate				

Application/Control Number: 10/796,913 Page 2

Art Unit: 3761

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments, see Remarks, filed March 17, 2009, with respect to the rejection of claims 8, 11, 12, 23 and 24 have been fully considered and are persuasive. The rejection of claims 8, 11, 12, 23 and 24 has been withdrawn.

2. Applicant's arguments, see Remarks, filed March 17, 2009, with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) 1-6, 9, 10, 16-20 and 22 under 35 U.S.C. 102 have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of a lack of support and antecedent basis for certain claim terminology.

Specification

3. The specification is objected to as failing to provide proper antecedent basis for the claimed subject matter. See 37 CFR 1.75(d)(1) and MPEP § 608.01(o). Correction of the following is required: there is no antecedent basis for the following: 1) first opposing portion recited in claims 1 and 16, 2) second opposing portion recited in claims 1 and 16, 3) first surface recited in claim 1 and 4) second surface recited in independent claim 1.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

4. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/796,913 Page 3

Art Unit: 3761

5. Claims 1-6, 9, 10, 16-20 and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. With respect to claim 1, in light of the lack of antecedent basis for the first and second opposing portions, there is insufficient support in the disclosure as originally filed for first and second surfaces of said first and second opposing portions that remain parallel to one another during movement of the first and second opposing portions. Specifically, the first or second opposing portion could be defined by the cartridge panel which is not always parallel to any component that could be considered the other opposing portion since the cartridge panel remains separate from the system initially and thus cannot be said to remain parallel to one another during movement of the first and second opposing portions. Similarly, with respect to claim 16, there is insufficient support for first and second opposing portions that are slidably interconnected if the cartridge panel defines either the first or second opposing portion. Claims 2-6, 9, 10, 17-20 and 22 depend directly or ultimately from either claim 1 or claim 16 and are thus also rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112.

Allowed Claims

6. Claims 8, 11, 12, 23 and 24 are allowed.

Reasons for Allowance

7. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance: Applicant arguments have successfully overcome the outstanding rejection of independent claim 23 under 35 U.S.C. 102 over the closest prior art of record and is thus in condition for allowance. Specifically, U.S.

Patent No. 6,595,943 to Burbank includes subject mater disclosed in an abandoned prior-filed application from which it claims priority benefit. As the publication date of the '943 patent is the first known date that the abandoned subject matter was available to the public, the filing date of the '943 patent is the earliest effective filing date. As this filing date is after the effective priority date of the instant application under 35 U.S.C. 102, the reference is no longer valid prior art against the claims. Claim 23 is thus in condition for allowance. Claims 8, 11, 12 and 24 each depend directly or ultimately from claim 23 and are thus also allowed.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to MELANIE J. HAND whose telephone number is (571)272-6464. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thurs 8:00-5:30, alternate Fridays 8:00-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tatyana Zalukaeva can be reached on 571-272-1115. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/796,913 Page 5

Art Unit: 3761

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Melanie J Hand/ Examiner, Art Unit 3761